

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-138878-001 DT

03/13/2015

HON. PAMELA GATES

CLERK OF THE COURT  
A. Olson  
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

GERALD R GRANT

v.

DENNIS EPHRAM CHAMBERS (001)

DENNIS EPHRAM CHAMBERS  
#279701 ASPC-KINGMAN L2  
PO BOX 6639  
KINGMAN AZ 86402  
NATALEE SEGAL

COURT ADMIN-CRIMINAL-PCR

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has considered Defendant's pleading entitled, "Motion to File Pro Per Rule 32 P-C-R", "Amended Motion to Show Cause Why Rule 32 P-C-R Should be Granted Releif" [sic], the State's Response, and Defendant's pleading captioned, "Motion to Show Reply for Rule 32 P-C-R."

If a Petition for Post-Conviction relief does not present a "material issue of fact or law which would entitled the defendant to relief" on any one of the grounds set out in Rule 32.1, this Court must summarily dismiss the petition. *See* Rule 32.6(c) Ariz.R.Crim.P. Mr. Chambers' Petition does not present a material issue of fact or law that would entitled him to relief on any of the grounds set out in Rule 32.1 of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure. Rather, Mr. Chambers argues that his conviction and sentence is unlawful because he did not commit the crime. Mr. Chambers has failed to demonstrate "by clear and convincing evidence that the facts underlying the claim would be sufficient to establish that no reasonable fact-finder would have found [him] guilty of the underlying offense beyond a reasonable doubt." *See* Ariz.R.Crim.P. 32.1(h). The arguments in Mr. Chambers' Petition for Post-Conviction Relief are the same

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-138878-001 DT

03/13/2015

arguments asserted at trial that the jury found unpersuasive, and which could have been raised on appeal. Based on the evidence and testimony presented at trial Mr. Chambers has failed to establish a colorable claim for post-conviction relief; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED denying Mr. Chambers' Petition for Post-Conviction Relief.

This case is eFiling eligible: <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/efiling/default.asp>. Attorneys are encouraged to review Supreme Court Administrative Order 2011-140 to determine their mandatory participation in eFiling through AZTurboCourt.